Dear Ms. Buchwald:

Your comments have been received and will be placed into the case file for the commission's consideration as it deliberates in this matter.

PUBLIC SERVICE

Thank you for your interest.

Andrew Melnykovych **Director of Communications** Kentucky Public Service Commission (502) 564-3940 x 208

From: PSC - Public Information Officer Sent: Monday, December 03, 2007 9:36 AM

To: Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC) **Subject:** FW: Case # 2007-00134

From: Martha Buchwald[SMTP: E-MAIL ADDRESS REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, December 03, 2007 9:35:01 AM

To: PSC - Public Information Officer **Subject:** Re: Case # 2007-00134 Auto forwarded by a Rule

Gentlemen:

If I might weigh in with my 2 cents worth: Ky. American Water is a publicly-traded company and as such, their first obligation is to keep their stock holders happy. Louisville Water Co. is not so they don't have any stock holders to keep happy. Only customers. All of them, who are counting on you, the Public Serv. Comm. to be fair and at the same time to rule favorably. The old saying that "if a person can see both sides of a question, you can be sure that he or she has no \$\$\$ tied up in the outcome", is quite true in this case. I happen to think that the Louisville Water Co. has the best answers in this case. They can accomplish more with less money, they do not need to run rough shod through some very pretty countryside to make a point. If you rule in favor of Ky. American Water Co., I'd bet the farm (if I had one) that they will be back before you within a year pleading that they must have a rate increase and this before they have delivered the first gallon of add'l. water. I rest my case. Martha Buchwald, 210 Briar Cliff, Frankfort, KY 40601 E-MAIL ADDRESS REDACTED